## **<u>Center for Community Based Resource Management (CBRM)</u>**

## Natural Resources Institute, University of Manitoba

## **CBRM Database**

| <b>Date:</b> 02/16/2010 | Entry 1057<br>Number:  |
|-------------------------|--|
| Case Study Name:        | The strategic pillars of communal natural resource management; Benefit, empowerment and conservationity-based tourism research in northern Canada                                  |
| Authors:                | Murphree, M. W.  |
| Document Type:          | paper in scientific journal  |
| Year:                   | 2009   |
| Language:               | English  |
| Document Location:      | Biodiversity and Conservation 18, (10), 2551-62  |
| Full Citation:          | Murphree, M. W. 2009. The strategic pillars of communal natural resource management; Benefit, empowerment and conservation. <i>Biodiversity and Conservation</i> 18, (10), 2551-62 |
| Region:                 | Africa - south of Sahara   |
| Country:                | Zimbabwe   |
| Ecosystem Type:         | tropical grassland and/or savanna  |
| Social Characteristics: | community bordering protected area   |
| Scale of Study:         | community  |
| Resource Type:          | tourism/ecotourism   |
| Type of Initiative:     | community network  |
| Community Based Work:   | conservation   |
| Keywords:               | keynote address, communal, community, natural resources, management, benefit, empowerment, conservation, CBNRM   |

| Summary: | Originally prepared as a keynote address for the 2008 La Tapoa Workshop on<br>Natural Resource Management (NRM) and Community Based Natural Resource Management<br>(CBNRM) this paper examines the Southern African experience in CBNRM over the past 20 years.<br>From this experience the paper draws lessons on when and where<br>CBNRM is appropriate, what can make it work, and what can make it work better.<br>These lessons are discussed under the three categories of benefit, conservation and<br>empowerment.  |
|----------|---|
|          | Benefit is usually conceptualized in terms of financial revenue, and using a Zimbabwean case study<br>the paper shows how in unusual circumstances this can be substantial. Normally, however, natural<br>resource production can only supplement inputs from agriculture and other modes of production, and<br>the paper warns against regarding CBNRM as a panacea for rural poverty. Benefit should also be<br>understood in non-pecuniary terms, and when economic benefit is linked with authority and<br>responsibility large increments in social capital can result. The conservation interests of donors are<br>often perceived as being at odds with local perspectives, a perception which fails to take into<br>consideration means end- sequencing. A socially constructed stalemate often occurs when external<br>agencies impose their agendas upon local populations, a stalemate which can be broken when<br>communities are given the authority and responsibility necessary to create internally legitimate<br>regimes. A new science which combines professional and civil inputs is required to achieve CBNRM's<br>goal—empowered and dynamic local regimes integrated into larger scale systems of conservation and<br>development. |