<u>Center for Community-Based Resource Management (CBRM)</u></u>

Natural Resources Institute, University of Manitoba

CBRM Database

Date:	December 16, 2011	Entry Number:	1177	
Case Study Name:		Promoting social justice and conserving montane forest environments: a case study of Nepal's community forestry programme		
Author:		Timsina, N.P.		
Document Type:		Paper in scientific journal		
Year:		2003		
Language:		English		
Document Location:		The Geographical Journal		
Full Citation:		Timsina, N.P. 2003. Promoting social justice and conserving montane forest environments: a case study of Nepal's community forestry programme. <i>The Geographical Journal</i> , 169(3), 236-242.		
Region:		South Asia		
Country:		Nepal		
Ecosystem Type:		Forestry, Middle Hills		
Social Characteristics:		Rural communities		
Scale of Study:		Household		
Resource Type:		Forestry		
Type of Initiative:		Research driven project		
Community Based Work:		Resource Management - Forest		

Keywords:	Nepal; community forestry policy; Forest User Groups; social structure; poor and disadvantaged people
Summary:	This paper analyses participatory forest policy and the ways it has influenced the relationships between people as it relates to the forest resource management. This case study was conducted in the middle hills of Nepal, and information was collected through direct observation, individual interviews, group discussion and secondary information analysis. It substantiates a few examples of how the community forestry programme can be used as a means to promote the participation of the women, the poor and <i>dalit</i> (untouchables) in forest resource management, as well as enhancing social justice and improving the forest resources in their locality. However, it also demonstrates that though the community forestry programme has a positive impact, it faces several constraints and challenges. The local Forest User Group is controlled by a few élites making the poor and disadvantaged vulnerable to further marginalization. The results of the case study strongly indicate that community forestry processes must address the political, economic and social needs of the forest users, and also provide a neutral platform on which the poor can present their cases.