<u>Center for Community-Based Resource Management (CBRM)</u></u>

Natural Resources Institute, University of Manitoba

CBRM Database

Date:	05/05/2013	Entry Number:	1327
Case Study Name:	The Arctic Water Resource Vulnerability Index: An Integrated Assessment Tool for Community Resilience and Vulnerability with Respect to Freshwater		
Authors:	Lillian Alessa, Andrew Kliskey, Richard Lammers, Chris Arp, Dan White, Larry Hinzman, Robert Busey		
Document Type:	Journal paper		
Year:	2008		
Language:	English		
Document Location:	Environmental Management		
Full Citation:	Alessa, L., A. Kliskey, R. Lammers, C. Arp, D. White, L. Hinzman, R. Busey (2008). The Arctic Water Resource Vulnerability Index: An Integrated Assessment Tool for Community Resilience and Vulnerability with Respect to Freshwater. <i>Environmental Management</i> , 42: 523-541.		
Region:	North America (Arctic)		
Country:	USA		
Ecosystem Type:	Freshwater, Arctic		
Social Characteristics:	Arctic communities, remote communities		
Scale of Study:	Community, regional		
Resource Type:	Surface water, groundwater		
Type of Initiative:	Community		
Community-Based Work:	Resource management,		
Keywords:	Arctic, freshwater, index, resilience, vulnerability		

Summary:	People in the Arctic face uncertainty in their daily lives as they contend with environmental changes at a range of scales from local to global. Freshwater is a critical resource to people and while water resource indicators have been developed that operate from regional to global scales and for mid-latitude to equatorial environments, no appropriate index exists for assessing the vulnerability of Arctic communities to changing water resources at the local scale. The Arctic Water Resource Vulnerability Index (AWRVI) is proposed as a tool that Arctic communities can use to assess their relative vulnerability – resilience to changes in their water resources from a variety of biophysical and socioeconomic processes. AWRVI is based on a social-ecological systems perspective that includes physical and social indicators of change and is demonstrated in three case study communities / watersheds in Alaska. These results highlight the value of communities engaging in the process of using AWRVI and the diagnostic capability of examining the suite of constituent physical and social scores rather than the total AWRVI score alone.
----------	--