## **Center for Community-Based Resource Management (CBRM)**

## **Natural Resources Institute, University of Manitoba**

## **CBRM Database**

Date:	11/May/2015	Entry Number:	1349
Case Study Name:	Use of the Transformative Framework in Mixed Methods Studies		
Authors:	David Sweetman, Manijeh Badiee, and John W. Creswell		
Document Type:	Journal article		
Year:	2010		
Language:	English		
Document Location:	Nebraska, USA		
Full Citation:	Sweetman, D., Badiee, M. & Creswell, J.W., 2010. Use of the Transformative Framework in Mixed Methods Studies. <i>Qualitative Inquiry</i> , 16(6), pp.441–454. Available at: http://qix.sagepub.com/cgi/doi/10.1177/1077800410364610.		
Region:	America		
Country:	United States of America		
Ecosystem Type:	City structures		
Social Characteristics:	Urban communities, poverty		
Scale of Study:	Community		
Resource Type:	Social and cultural attributes		
Type of Initiative:	Methodology		
Community-Based Work:	Environmental health, Community health.		

Keywords:	Mixed methods, mix methods, multimethod, triangulation, transformative, emancipatory,
	advocacy, review
Summary:	A concern exists that mixed methods studies do not contain advocacy stances. Preliminary evidence suggests that this is not the case, but to address this issue in more depth the authors examined 13 mixed methods studies that contained an advocacy, transformative lens. Such a lens consisted of incorporating intent to advocate for an improvement in human interests and society through addressing issues of power and social relationships. Included for review were 10 criteria for a transformative study and rigorous procedures for mixed methods research. The findings of this study suggested that several transformative criteria are being used in published mixed methods studies but that some are underutilized. This analysis helped advance eight key elements that authors might use for incorporating a transformative lens into a mixed methods study.