

**Minutes of a meeting of Senate held on the above date at 1:30 p.m. in the Senate Chamber, Room E3-262 Engineering and Information Technology Complex**

---

**Members Present**

Dr. D. Barnard, Chair  
 Ms. K. Adams  
 Prof. W. Akinremi  
 Prof. S. Alward  
 Prof. John Anderson  
 Prof. Judy Anderson  
 Prof. T. Anna  
 Prof. T. G. Berry  
 Ms. C. Bone  
 Mr. D. Bowles  
 Prof. M. Brabston  
 Rector D. Bracken  
 Prof. L. Coar  
 Dean D. Collins  
 Prof. E. Comack  
 Prof. K. Coombs  
 Dean D. Crooks  
 Prof. I. Davidson-Hunt  
 Dean E. Dawe  
 Dr. H. Dean  
 Dean J. Doering  
 Mr. T. Dueck  
 Prof. M. Edwards  
 Prof. J. Embree  
 Prof. E. Etcheverry  
 Dean G. Feltham  
 Prof. A. Frederiksen  
 Prof. M. Freund  
 Prof. M. Gabbert  
 Mrs. E. Goldie  
 Ms. J. Guise  
 Dean N. Halden  
 Dr. J. Hoskins  
 Prof. P. Hultin  
 Ms. K. Hurst  
 Dean A. Iacopino  
 Dr. D. Jayas  
 Dr. J. Keselman  
 Prof. S. Kouritzin  
 Prof. D. Kuhn

Mr. E. Kuz  
 Dr. A. MacDiarmid  
 Prof. J. Mactavish  
 Ms. K. Marcynuk  
 Prof. K. Matheos  
 Mrs. D. McCallum  
 Mr. J. McGurran  
 Dr. R. McIlwraith  
 Prof. A. McNicol  
 Ms. H. Milan  
 Dr. D. Morphy  
 Ms. D. Okrusko  
 Mr. M. Ostadrahimi  
 Prof. J. Owens  
 Dean R. Perron  
 Prof. S. Pistorius  
 Prof. D. Polyzois  
 Mr. S. Rashid  
 Dr. I. Ripstein  
 Dean D. Ruth  
 Ms. N. Sajan  
 Dean D. Sandham  
 Prof. M. Scanlon  
 Dean G. Sevenhuysen  
 Dean R. Sigurdson  
 Prof. L. Simard  
 Prof. W. Simpson  
 Prof. D. Smyth  
 Dr. R. Tate  
 Dean M. Trevan  
 Mr. A. Vahedi  
 Prof. J. Van Rees  
 Dean L. Wallace  
 Dean J. Watkinson  
 Dean M. Whitmore  
 Dean J. Wiens  
 Prof. E. Worobec  
 Prof. A. Young  
 Mr. J. Leclerc,  
 University Secretary  
 Ms. M. Brolley,  
 Recording Secretary

**Assessors Present**

Dr. C. Blais  
 Prof. J. Blatz  
 Mr. P. Dueck  
 Dr. K. Grant  
 Prof. N. Hunter  
 Prof. K. Jensen  
 Dr. R. Lobdell  
 Mr. N. Marnoch  
 Prof. C. Morrill  
 Mr. P. Nawrot  
 Dr. J. Ristock  
 Dr. L. Smith  
  
**Regrets**  
 Prof. J. Asadoorian  
 Dean C. Axworthy  
 Prof. M. Campbell  
 Prof. R. Cossar  
 Dr. E. Cowden  
 Dr. G. Cronin  
 Dean I. Diallo  
 Dean H. Frankel  
 Rectrice R. Gagné  
 Prof. N. Hansen  
 Prof. G. Hatch  
 Prof. P. Hess  
 Ms. K. Holden  
 Prof. E. Judd  
 Prof. W. Kinsner  
 Prof. L. Kirshenbaum  
 Prof. K. MacKendrick  
 Prof. E. Milliken  
 Prof. P. Nickerson  
 Dr. W. Norrie  
 Dr. D. Smith  
 Prof. T. Sullivan  
 Prof. C. Taylor  
 Prof. J. Trottier

Dr. D. Wirtzfeld

**Absent**

Prof. S. Abeysekera  
 Prof. J. Bartlett  
 Very Rev. R. Bozyk  
 Ms. N. Chislett  
 Dr. M. Enns  
 Dr. G. Glavin  
 Prof. Y. Gong  
 Ms. J. Horner  
 Mr. A. Hossein Birjandi  
 Prof. J. Irvine  
 Prof. S. Kirby  
 Mr. R. Mahé  
 Mr. C. Martel  
 Prof. D. McMillan  
 Mr. P. Nawrot  
 Prof. M. Pritchard  
 Mr. R. Pudavick  
 Mr. O. Qureski  
 Dr. R. Soni  
 Ms. K. Thompson  
 Mr. M. Tripple  
 Dr. van Ineveld  
 Prof. M. Vrontakis  
 Prof. A. Wright

**Also Present**

Ms. S. Foster  
 Ms. E. Kim  
 Ms. T. Lussier  
 Ms. K. McQuarrie Smith  
 Ms. S. Munroe  
 Ms. M. Pauls  
 Mr. J. Roberts

The Chair informed Senate that the speaker of the Senate Executive Committee was Dean Mark Whitmore. Dr. Barnard noted that future Senate agendas would be distributed in an electronic manner. Senators had received the cover pages of today's agenda and the draft minutes of June's meeting in the regular manner with the remainder of the agenda documents being available online. For subsequent meetings, all materials will be available online only. Dr. Barnard also drew the attention of Senate to the screens at the front of the room where the agenda would be projected during the meeting.

**I MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED IN CLOSED SESSION**

**1. Report of the Senate Committee on Honorary Degrees**

In keeping with past practice, the minutes of this agenda item are not included in the circulated minutes but appear in the original minutes which are available for inspection by members of Senate.

**II MATTERS RECOMMENDED FOR CONCURRENCE WITHOUT DEBATE**

The Chair indicated that, in keeping with the intent of this category of the agenda, Senate Executive proposed that the items in this category be approved without debate.

- 1. Report of the Senate Committee on Medical Qualifications RE: Dr. Ade Olujohungbe Page 17**
- 2. Report of the Senate Committee on Medical Qualifications RE: Dr. Giacomo Ruotolo Page 20**
- 3. Report of the Executive Committee of the Faculty of Graduate Studies [dated June 10, 2009] regarding course changes in the Department of Curriculum, Teaching and Learning, the Natural Resources Institute, and the Department of Environment and Geography Page 23**

Dean Whitmore **MOVED** on behalf of Senate Executive: **THAT** Senate approve the matters recommended for concurrence without debate for September 9, 2009; namely:

- **The report of the Senate Committee on Medical Qualifications RE: Dr. Ade Olujohungbe**
- **The report of the Senate Committee on Medical Qualifications RE: Dr. Giacomo Ruotolo**
- **The Report of the Executive Committee of the Faculty of Graduate Studies [dated June 10, 2009] regarding course changes in the Department of Curriculum, Teaching and Learning, the Natural Resources Institute, and the Department of Environment and Geography**

**CARRIED**

**III MATTERS FORWARDED FOR INFORMATION**

1. **Senate Reception – September 9, 2009**
2. **Report of the Senate Committee on Awards [June 15, 2009]** Page 26

The Senate Committee on Awards met on June 15, 2009, to approve 7 new offers, 4 amended offers, and the withdrawal of 4 offers as set out in Appendix A of the Report of the Senate Committee on Awards.

3. **Items approved by the Board of Governors on June 16, 2009** Page 33
4. **Statement of Intent: Ph.D. in Nursing** Page 34
5. **Withdrawal of Statement of Intent: B.Env.D. [AD]** Page 42
6. **In Memoriam Dr. Robert Cameron McGinnis** Page 43

Dean Trevan spoke of Dr. McGinnis as a remarkable man who died suddenly and unexpectedly on July 5, 2009. Dr. McGinnis received a diploma in agriculture from Olds College and went on to complete an M.Sc. at the University of Alberta. He then moved to Winnipeg where he worked as a cytogeneticist with Agriculture Canada while completing a Ph.D. at the University of Manitoba. Dr. McGinnis was Head of the Plant Science Department, University of Mantioba, in the 1960s. In 1973, Dr. McGinnis moved to Kenya where he headed up a CIDA project focusing on breeding rust resistant varieties of wheat. He went on to become Director of International Programs and Training for the International Crops Research Station for the Semi-arid tropics in India where he was responsible for technology transfer from headquarters research and for initiating sub research units in strategic countries including Senegal, Mali, Burkino Faso, Niger, Nigeria, Sudan and Tanzania. Dr. McGinnis returned to the University of Manitoba as Dean of Agriculture from which he retired after a ten year term. Dr. McGinnis was active in his local church and Rotary Club. He was a remarkable man with a broad vision. He will be fondly remembered and sadly missed by all who knew him.

7. **In Memoriam Dr. Ram Singhasan Azad** Page 44

Dean Doug Ruth spoke of Dr. Azad who, when he came to the University in 1966, was only the second Ph.D. in mechanical engineering in the faculty. His area of specialty was turbulence, an area requiring a lot of patience. Dr. Azad was a pioneer in developing the faculty into a research faculty. During his tenure, he supervised a record number of Ph.D. graduates from mechanical engineering. Dr. Azad donated his extensive and comprehensive collection of fluid dynamics books to the Faculty of Engineering library giving it an unparalleled collection in this subject.

**IV REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT**

The Chair made the following statement.

*On April 6, 2009, a Town Hall meeting was held to allow members of the University of Manitoba community to discuss issues and concerns connected with ICM, including the contract between the University of Manitoba and Navitas (the corporate parent of ICM). At that meeting, I agreed to meet with senior officials from Navitas to share the concerns that have been raised, to seek agreement on a comprehensive review of ICM's operations, and to report back to Senate about the outcomes of these discussions.*

*Accordingly, Dr. Richard Lobdell, Vice-Provost (Programs) and I traveled to Australia in July 2009 to meet with Navitas officials.*

*While in Sydney, we visited the Sydney Institute of Business and Technology (SIBT), a Navitas college located on the campus of Macquarie University which operates in much the same way as ICM does on our campus. At any given moment, nearly two thousand students are enrolled at SIBT. Graduates of SIBT constitute about half of the international students studying at Macquarie, and international students in total account for about one-third of Macquarie's student body. We met with the Vice-Chancellor and the Provost of Macquarie, both of whom were enthusiastic about SIBT as a pathway for international students intending to complete Macquarie degrees.*

*We also met with the Navitas CEO and the Executive General Manager of Navitas University Programs. Both were involved in the negotiations leading to the creation of ICM and both have visited our campus. Navitas is pleased with the early success of ICM and has every expectation that ICM student numbers will increase over time.*

*Navitas officials agreed with our suggestion that there should be a comprehensive review of ICM at the end of the fifth year of their operation on our campus – that is, during the autumn term of 2013/14. This review would be overseen by the Senate Committee on Academic Reviews and would be modeled on the academic program reviews approved by Senate. Unlike ordinary academic program reviews, the full review of ICM would be submitted to Senate for discussion.*

*In the meantime, there will continue to be opportunities to receive updates. ICM began operations on our campus in September 2008. During 2008-09, ICM offered three academic terms. The Academic Advisory Council, consisting of three members appointed by the University and three members appointed by ICM with a chair appointed by the University, reviewed ICM's academic operations during 2008-09 and the Council's first annual report will be circulated for information to Senate at its meeting in October.*

*I look forward to receiving the results of this review. On the basis of everything that I have learned about the experience of Navitas colleges elsewhere and about ICM on our campus, I believe strongly that ICM represents a wonderful opportunity for international students and for our University.*

*Students who would not otherwise have come to our campus are welcomed by ICM and provided with academic and personal supports that lead to success. ICM students benefit from smaller classes taught in a more intensive fashion by experienced instructors. They enter our degree programs academically well-prepared. ICM provides employment as instructors to some of our graduate students. Our academic departments directly benefit financially. The University of Manitoba receives royalty payments from ICM and will receive tuition revenues from ICM graduates who pursue our degree courses. I believe we must enthusiastically pursue these opportunities in every way possible.*

The Chair indicated that he would be available to discuss this matter with Senators at the reception following the meeting; otherwise, this item would be on the agenda for October's meeting with the annual report of ICM.

**V QUESTION PERIOD**

No questions were received prior to 10:00 a.m. of the day preceding the meeting.

**VI CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF JUNE 24, 2009**

Dr. Barnard noted that the minutes had been distributed with a DRAFT watermark as the minutes are on the public website and have not been approved by Senate.

Professor Owens noted that the recording of the discussion on the strategic plan did not attribute comments and questions to specific Senators; she wondered if this was a new policy regarding Senate minutes and, if so, what was the rationale for not attributing comments and questions to the specific Senators. Professor Owens indicated that she personally felt that Senators should be accountable for what they say in Senate and viewed attributing comments as a way to hold them to account more. Mr. Leclerc responded that this is not a new policy, for some time it has been the practice of summarizing the discussions of the meeting in the minutes. He added that the minutes are not a transcription of the meeting, they are a summary of the discussions wherein Senators have not been specifically named. He indicated that he would take advisement from Senate on this, but that this has been the practice for the recent past.

Professor Young expressed concern about this practice which she did not agree with. She explained that, while she viewed the summary as fair and balanced and did present a flavour of what was said, she did think it was important in a discussion such as a strategic plan which is very important to everyone that there is some sense of what kinds of questions, what kinds of objections or problems were coming from what different constituencies within the University, as elected Arts Senators are representing a particular constituency and these minutes are available on the web and she thought that members of the Faculty should be able to see what their Senators are saying for or about, their own constituencies. She added that, if there is an error, if there is something that is not really quite right, it's impossible with a summary for anyone to get up and say 'well, that's not what I said' because it could be what someone else said you can't

remember everything. Professor Young thought that on very specific points that there should be some attribution. She added that she had, for instance, asked a specific question about what would happen to URGPs, and indicated that there was nothing specific about it recorded in the minutes and she thought that was one important element that should go in there. Members of the Faculty, Professor Young indicated, wanted to know what the implications of the strategic plan might have been for URGPs. While she noted that she was assured there were not implications, she thought it should be reported. Mr. Leclerc responded that he thought those were fair points and suggested he look at the discussion again and confer with his colleagues at other institutions about how they record their Senate minutes. The Chair clarified that any changes in procedure would be going forward rather than reworking these minutes. Professor Young requested that URGPs be added to the discussion as a specific item, whether it was attributed or not.

Professor Gabbert indicated that he thought that the discussion recorded in the minutes should be redone and the reason was that because a number of specific questions were asked or concerns raised that were addressed to the Chair of Senate and the Chair of Senate responded in particular ways; and since the debate or the discussion at Senate is a record of the way in which various people saw this document, at its earliest stages, he thought that it was important that there be a record to go back to in order to see in fact whether things are unfolding the way they were discussed or not. Mr. Leclerc indicated they would try to find a solution. The Chair proposed that the minutes be deferred.

Approval of the minutes was deferred to a future meeting.

**VII BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES - none**

**VIII REPORTS OF THE SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND THE SENATE PLANNING AND PRIORITIES COMMITTEE**

**1. Report of the Senate Executive Committee**

**Page 45**

**Dean Whitmore MOVED on behalf of the Executive Committee: THAT the following nomination to the Senate Committee on Nominations be approved by Senate for a three-year term ending on May 31, 2012:**

- **Professor Helen Cameron, representing Science**

**CARRIED**

**2. Report of the Senate Planning and Priorities Committee**

Professor Blatz reported that the committee was considering three proposals from the Faculty of Graduate Studies which would come to Senate in due course.

**IX      REPORTS OF OTHER COMMITTEES OF SENATE,  
FACULTY AND SCHOOL COUNCILS**

**1.      Report of the Senate Committee on Awards [July 31, 2009]      Page 47**

In an electronic poll conducted between July 13 and July 31, 2009, the Senate Committee on Awards reviewed one new offer that appears to be discriminatory according to the Policy for Non-Acceptance of Discriminatory Scholarships, Bursaries or Fellowships. The Committee received letters of support for the Victoria and J. Stuart Downey Entrance Scholarship in Medicine from Dean Sandham, Faculty of Medicine, and Ms. Kali Storm, Director of the Aboriginal Student Centre. Professor Hultin reported that this award targets members of the Aboriginal community.

**Professor Hultin MOVED, seconded by Dean Wiens THAT: Senate approve the Report of the Senate Committee on Awards [dated July 31, 2009] regarding the *Victoria and J. Stuart Downey Entrance Scholarship in Medicine*.**

**CARRIED**

**X      ADDITIONAL BUSINESS**

**1.      Ad hoc committee of the  
Committee of Election of a Chancellor      Page 52**

Dr. Norrie's term as Chancellor is drawing to a close; thus, the Committee of Election needs to be convened to elect a new Chancellor. The Committee of Election is comprised of both the Board of Governors and the Senate. It is expected that the election will occur in January/February 2010.

Prior to the Committee of Election sitting, an ad hoc Committee of the Committee of Election needs to be formed comprised of two members of Senate and two members of the Board. This ad hoc committee will oversee the process and make recommendations to the Committee of Election.

**Dean Whitmore MOVED on behalf of Senate Executive THAT: subject to concurrence by the Board of Governors, an ad hoc Committee of the Committee of Election, consisting of two members of the Board of Governors and two members of the Senate be established to:**

- a)      Perform the functions required under the procedures for the Committee of Election which were used for the 2001 Chancellor election;**
- b)      Recommend to the Board and Senate any issues which require consideration prior to the meeting of the Committee of Election.**

**CARRIED**

**Dean Whitmore MOVED on behalf of Senate Executive THAT: Senate elect its two appointees to the ad hoc Committee at the September 9, 2009 Senate meeting.**

**CARRIED**

Professor Phil Hultin was NOMINATED by Professor Berry, seconded by Professor Gabbert.

Dean Doug Ruth was NOMINATED by Dean Wiens, seconded by Professor Kuhn.

Dean Jay Doering was NOMINATED by Professor Coombs, seconded by Dr. Ripstein.

**Professor Brabston MOVED, seconded by Dr. McIlwraith THAT: Senate nominations to the ad hoc Committee be closed.**

**CARRIED**

Voting was conducted by secret ballot.

Professor Hultin and Dean Ruth were ELECTED to serve on the ad hoc Committee of the Committee of Election.

**XI ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned at 2:20 p.m.

These minutes, pages 1 to 8 combined with the agenda, pages 17 to 59 distributed earlier, comprise the minutes of the meeting of Senate held on September 9, 2009.

/mb